



Design Issues in the Study of Rare Cancers

Rare Cancers Working Group Report

Isis S. Mikhail, MD, MPH, DrPH



Overview

- **Goal: solicit input from NCI investigators on the need to study rare cancers**
- **This workshop focused on adult tumors**
- **Childhood cancers were outside of our mandate since the majority (~ 90%) of children with cancer are already enrolled in clinical trials**

What is Rare?

–Incidence less than 15/100,000 cases

or

–Less than 40,000 cases per year in the US

Rare Cancers

Annual Cases, Deaths, and Death Rates

• Pancreas	31,860	31,270	98%
• Esophagus	14,250	13,300	93%
• Multiple myeloma	15,270	11,070	72%
• Leukemia	33,440	23,300	70%
• Brain	18,400	12,690	69%
• Ovary	25,580	16,090	63%
• Bones & joints	2,440	1,300	53%
• Soft tissue (including heart)	8,680	3,660	42%
• Uterine cervix	10,520	3,900	37%
• Kidney & renal pelvis	35,710	12,480	35%
• Ureter, other urinary organs	2,450	690	28%
• Vulva	3,970	850	21%
• Uterine corpus	40,320	7,090	18%
• Hodgkin's disease	7,880	1,320	17%
• Penis & other genital, male	1,570	270	17%
• Endocrine system	25,520	2,440	10%
• Thyroid	23,600	1,460	6%
• Testis	8,980	360	4%

Why Study Rare Cancers ?

- **Some are highly lethal**
- **Some have rising rates (e.g. esophageal)**
- **May be informative about etiology of more common tumors**
- **Lower incidence tends to go with more heritability/familial (e.g. twin studies by N. Risch)**
- **Simpler etiology than common cancers**
 - e.g. RB, angiosarcoma, clear cell carcinoma of vagina
 - May provide insight to more common and complex tumors
- **Disproportionate in some ethnic groups (e.g. male breast cancer)**
- **YPLL from cancer at young age**
- **Total incidence of all rare cancers is substantial**

Why Study Rare Tumors ?

- **Ethical Issues**

- Etiologic studies of rare tumors have been given less attention by the scientific community compared to more common cancers
- Rare tumors deserve to receive their share of research
- Patients afflicted with such cancers should not have to carry the burden of disease alone
- Sense of hope in the search for a cure

- **Compare with study # 101 of a common tumor**
- **Higher probability of a “hit”**

- **Gather data**
 - **Descriptive data from SEER**
 - **Existing cohorts**
 - **With and without biospecimens**
 - **Number of cases**
 - **Questionnaire data available?**
 - **Biospecimen availability?**
 - **Existing clinical trials of rare tumors**

Study Design Options

- **Cohort Studies**
 - **Value: studies of modest size - using multiple existing cohorts**
 - **Should be able to identify moderate to strong risk factors**
 - Questionnaire based analyses
 - Biologic samples
 - **How to obtain access to questionnaire data and biologic samples?**

- **Clinical Trials**
 - **Feasibility of adding etiology to treatment trials of rare diseases**
 - **Precedent: Childhood cancer**
 - **Methodologic issues**
 - **Bias: e.g. cases in clinical trials may have worst prognosis**
 - **Yes, but ...**
 - **We cannot afford to be overly fastidious**
 - **Strong apparent risk factors are robust to small biases**

- ***De novo* Designs**

- **Why?**

- Follow-up hypotheses from cohort mining
 - Functional assays/phenotypes from samples, fresh tissue
 - Subgroups with molecular categorization
 - Integrate with studies of prognosis and treatment

Basic Design

- **Study multiple kinds of rare tumors**
- **Hospital based**
 - **At major cancer centers**
 - **“that’s where the money is”**
- **Common hospital or clinic controls**
- **Single questionnaire, biospecimen collection protocol**
- **Methodological challenges**
 - **Control selection**
 - **Surmountable**

Building Infrastructure

- **Building Partnerships**
- **Take advantage of GCRCs**
- **Supplemental funds to Cancer Centers to explore feasibility**

General Suggestions

- **Create common rare tumor protocol**
- **Collect baseline information on all rare cancers (e.g. pooling, data sharing)**
- **Banking samples**
- **Studies comparing higher rates in populations**